

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONERCOF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington 10.1 (2023) www.uspt.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 423,665	11 22 1999	BRIGITTE FALCONNIER	P64053US0	7027
136	7590 01 17 2003			
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600			EXAMINER	
			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	14
			DATE MAILED: 01 17-2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

3)√					
Application No.	Applicant(s)				
09/423,665	FALCONNIER, BRIGITTE				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Curtis E. Sherrer	1761				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 31 December 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the 1 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TYPE OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TYPE OF THE TYPE OF THE FINAL T	final rejection.
To6.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the file (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension fee under nal Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materia issues for appeal; and/or	lly reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sepa canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	rate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been consider application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	red but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to i raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ssues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below to	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	In Shu
_	CURTIS SHERRER
P	<b>ATENT EXAM</b> INER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment language adds limitations to dependent claims that do not presently exist...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Kirk teaches "about 0.5 microns" and therefore it would be obvious to vary the droplet diameter to sizes less than 0.5, inlcuding 0.1, as claimed. Clarity of beverage will be based on concentration of phospholipid and absence of cations. Further, clairty is a relative term. Lastly, certain claims are still obvious in light of Brun alone as they are products produced from the newly proposed product and this would be considered a process limitation, which is given little weight. See claim 38.